



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/505,330

08/23/2004

Marie Linnane

3770

23617 7590 05/09/2007
JOHN V STEWART
1308 HENRY BALCH DRIVE
ORLANDO, FL 32810

EXAMINER

SPAHN, GAY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3635

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/09/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/505,330 | LINNANE, MARIE | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Gay Ann Spahn | 3635 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --


1. ☒ The amendment filed on 23 April 2007 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) ☐ entered.
- b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

- d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The examiner objected to the title of the invention in the first Office Action mailed 27 January 2006 as not being descriptive of the invention. This gave the Applicant a chance to change the title of the invention to one that was more descriptive of the invention. However, on 30 June 2006, Applicant's filed a response to the first office action and chose not to amend the title of the invention to something more descriptive. Therefore, pursuant to the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) section 606.01, entitled "Examiner May Require Change in Title", which states that "[i]f a satisfactory title is not supplied by the applicant, the examiner may, at the time of allowance, change the title by examiner's amendment", the examiner changed the title of the invention to a title more descriptive of the patentable features of the invention. Since many examiners use the title of the invention as an aid in searching and since it is not required that the title of the invention reflect no more than the subject matter of the broadest claim, Applicant's "Amendment After Allowance Under 37 CFR 1.312" is not being entered.


Carl D. Friedman
 Supervisory Patent Examiner
 Group 3600

GAS
 Gay Ann Spahn, Patent Examiner
 May 5, 2007